The exploitation of electricity production projects from Renewable Energy Sources for social and economic development. The case of Greece.

> Dimitris Al. Katsaprakakis (dkatsap@aiolikigi.gr)



Aeolian Land S.A. www.aiolikigi.gr



### Scope of the presentation

This presentation aims to examine the following issues:

- description of the existing situation in Greece regarding the development of electricity production power plants from Renewable Energy Sources (R.E.S.)
- consequences from the configured situation
- proposals towards the rational development of R.E.S. projects in Greece and globally.



### • First chapter: The existing situation

ш





### The electricity production licensed projects

Total power of licensed projects for electricity production from R.E.S. (MW)					
Wind parks	Biomass	Geothermy	Solar plants		
23.250,66	479,23	8,00	481,70		
Small hydro power plants	mall hydroPhotovoltaicower plantsstations		Total		
968,07	4.422,04	421,85	30.031,54		

Comments:

- the annual power demand peak in Greece is around 11GW
- the total power of the submitted applications for licensing, remaining still under evaluation, is estimated around 50GW.



### Characteristic cases of submitted or licensed projects

Characteristic cases of the submitted applications or issued licenses are those regarding groups of small insular systems, such as:

- electricity production license from wind parks of 317,4MW total nominal power for the islands of Anafi, Astypalaia, Amorgos and Ios
- electricity production license from wind parks of 348MW total nominal power for the islands of Kalymnos, Kos and Leros
- electricity production license from wind parks of 330MW total nominal power for the island of Ikaria
- application for electricity production from wind parks of 1.047MW total nominal power for the islands of Milos, Kimolos, Sikonos, Folegandros and Astypalaia.



### Characteristic cases of submitted or licensed projects

	<b>D</b> 1 1	Area	Maximum	Annual electricity		
	Population	$(km^2)$	annual power	consumption		
			demand (MW)	(MWh)		
License case 1: 317,4 MW						
Anafi	271	39,0	0,553	1.179		
Astypalaia	1.334	96,9	2,250	6.670		
Amorgos	1.859	121,5	2,900	9.072		
Ios (interconnected						
with Paros, Naxos	2.030 108,7		62,400	194.740		
etc)						
Total	5.494	365,7	68,103	211.661		
License case 2: 348 MW						
Kalymnos	16.179	110,6				
Kos	33.388	290,3	90,500	352.984		
Leros	7.917	54,1				
Total	57.484	455,0	90,500	352.984		



### Characteristic cases of submitted or licensed projects

	Population	Area	Maximum annual power	Annual electricity consumption		
			demand (MW)	(MWh)		
License case 3: 330 MW						
Ikaria	8.423	255	7,380	27.613		
Application case 1: 1.047 MW						
Milos	5.129	150,6	11 500	45 402		
Kimolos	838	37,4	11,300	45.402		
Sikinos (interconnected with	260	41,7				
Santorini)			00 500	252 0.94		
Folegandros			90,300	332.984		
(interconnected with	780	32,4				
Santorini)						
Astypalaia	1.334	96,9	2,250	6.670		
Total	8.341	359,0	92,750	359.654		

### Map depiction of applications / licenses according to Regulatory Authority of Energy



# Map depiction of applications / licenses According to Regulatory Authority of Energy



Amorgos

# Map depiction of applications / licenses according to Regulatory Authority of Energy



# Map depiction of applications / licenses according to Regulatory Authority of Energy



Crete: Total submitted / issued power: 5.000MW

### Characteristics of the applications / licenses AEOUAN LAND Violation of the national siting and development plan

• In many occasions, the submitted applications and issued licenses violate the existing national siting and development plant about R.E.S., regarding natural or cultural restrictions.



### Characteristic features of the applications AEDUAN LAND S.A Violation of the national siting and development plan



- Total length of coast: 5,60 nautical miles (10.370m)
- Total siting length of the wind park on the mountain top: 7.670m.

### Characteristic features of the applications Effects on the territories general attitude

• The siting of these large scale projects in small geographical territories, such as the insular ones, often occupying all the available hills and mountains, certainly affects the existing human activities and turns the existing

traditional (and usually insular) attitude into an electricity production industrial area.





### Characteristic features of the applications <sup>AG</sup> Lack of information of the local communities

- Most probably none of the owners of these projects took the initiation to present the project to the local communities and Municipal Councils beforehand. Certainly there is not such a legal obligation defined anywhere. On the other hand, the local communities absolutely have the right to be informed about such large projects in their territory and to express their opinion on that, which should be respected and taken into account.
- In most occasions these large applications and licenses were communicated to the local population and their local authorities by the media, the announcements of R.A.E. etc.
- It is now more than implicit that, given the lack of any promotional policy and the size of these projects, the inhabitants perceive these applications and licenses more as raging invasions, rather than as developmental proposals.



### Characteristics features of the applications Land properties

- Moreover, it is mathematically sure, mainly due to the huge land areas required for the large applied or issued R.E.S. projects and the existing legislation, that the possession of the necessary land properties for the installation of the R.E.S. power plants has not been justified in any of these applications and licenses.
- Most probably, the owners of the land have not even been informed about the existence of the R.E.S. project's application or license in their property.
- In such occasions, the land owners, being indirectly informed from several other sources, feel threatened by forces that neither know, nor are able to detect, while their right on the properties is provoked, as well as their sense of pride and independence.



### • Second chapter: Consequences



"Crete's mountains are not for sale to the multinational companies"



### The common opinion about R.E.S. today



### The common opinion about R.E.S. today



"Βιομηχανικες ανανεωσιμες πηγες ενεργειας--αληθειες και ψεματα" αναρτήθηκε στις 24 Μαρ 2012 11:54 π.μ. από το χρήστη Marina Zafeiraki [ενημερώθηκε 24 Μαρ 2012 4:16 μ.μ.] FOPAZO X PYX ΑΤΤΕ-ραντο εργοστάσιο ενέργειας αυτό θέλουμε για την Κρ ΠΑΓΚΡΗΤΙΟ ΔΙΚΤΥΟ ΑΓΩΝΑ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΩΝ ΒΙΟΜΗΧΑΝΙΚΩΝ ΑΠΕ 24/2/2012 Περιφ. Κρή

"Endless energy production factory from RES. Is that that we want for Crete?"



### The common opinion about R.E.S. today

Y	palo	Ελλάδα	Τοττικά	Κόσμος	Οικονομία	Αθλητισμός	Ψυχαγωγία	Επιστήμη	Γυνα	
M	Μοιραστείτε το: 🕒 🚰 📥 🖂 (0)					🎔 Προσθήκη στα αγαπημένα   🕥 Σχόλια				

### "Όχι" από τη Ζάκρο στις Βιομηχανικές ΑΠΕ

Ψήφισμα από τη Γενική Συνέλευση του ΤΟΕΒ Ζάκρου Ενημερώθηκε: 04.05.2012 // 11:34 Κατηγορηματικά αντίθετος στη σχεδιαζόμενη εγκατάσταση ΒΑΠΕ στο ανατολικό άκρο της Κρήτης εμφανίζεται ο ΤΟΕΒ Ζάκρου με ψήφισμα της γενικής συνέλευσης. ΤΟ ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ Εκφράζουμε την κατηγορηματική μας αντίθεση στη σχεδιαζόμενη εγκατάσταση Βιομηχανικών Ανανεώσιμων Πηγών Ενέργειας (Β.Α.Π.Ε.) στο ανατολικό άκρο της Κρήτης και ιδιαίτερα στους ορεινούς όγκους από το Δ.Δ. Παλαικάστρου μέχρι δυτικά του Δ.Δ. Ζάκρου της Δημοτικής Ενότητας Ιτάνου του Δήμου Σητείας. Είναι γνωστό ότι οι ορεινοί αυτοί όγκοι αποτελούν ένα μοναδικό καρστικό υδροφορέα που τροφοδοτούν αιώνες τώρα την πηγή της Ζάκρου και όλες τις γεωτρήσεις της περιοχής μας. Αιώνες τώρα, σ' αυτή την εσχατιά της Ελλάδας, ζουν και προκόβουν οι άνθρωποι χάρις στο πολύτιμο νερό που μας δίνει τη δυνατότητα να...



Cretalive.gr

Cretalive.gr · Πριν από 10 μέρες, 22 ώρες · 🏴

🖪 1 Ειδήσεις 🕥 Σχόλια

"NO from Zakros to the RES projects"

### The common opinion about R.E.S. today



Ξένος Γιώργος | 23/04/2012 - 05:31 μμ

Apxiki - Green Report - Tipuotvi Evspysiu

Διαμαρτυρία θα πραγματοποιήσουν το μεσημέρι της Τρίτης 24 Απριλίου και ώρα 13:00, επιτροπές από όλη την Κρήτη κατά των ΑΠΕ αντιδρώντας με αυτό τον τρόπο σε μεγάλες επενδύσεις που σχεδιάζονται στο νησί εκτιμώντας πως θα αλλοιώσουν το φυσικό περιβάλλον της περιοχής.



Οι επιτροττές πολιτών από το Αποπηγάδι Χανίων, από την περιοχή Δήμου Άγιου Βασίλειου Ρεθύμνου, από το Βραχάσι και την ευρύτερη περιοχή του Δήμου Αγ. Νικολάου και από το Κράσι και την ευρύτερη περιοχή Δήμου Χερσονήσου μαζί με φορείς μέλη του Παγκρήτιου Δικτύου Αγώνα κατά των ΑΠΕ, με ανακοίνωση που εξέδωσαν εκφράζουν τη διαφωνία τους στην κατασκευή του παγκρήτιου υβριδικού υδροηλεκτρικού. ΤΕΛΕΥΤΑΙΕΣ ΕΙΔΗΣΕΙΣ

M NOVENOTITIES

- 14/05/2012 Ενέργεια και αφαλατωμένο νερό ταυτόχρονα σε πρόγραμμα της Αιγύπτου
- 14/05/2012 Κοντά στο στόχο για τις ΑΠΕ η Γερμανία με το βάρος όμως στα νοικοκυριά
- > 11/05/2012
  Πράσινες εφευρέσεις
  στηριζόμενες στη νανοτεχνολογία
- > 10/05/2012
  Επτενδύσεις σε αιολικά από ασφαλιστικούς ομίλους
- 10/05/2012
  Η εναλλακτική ανακύκλωση και το μέλλον της
- > 10/05/2012
  Σε γεωτρήσεις επενδύει
  250 εκατ. δολάρια η
  Τουρκία
- > 09/05/2012
  Το βάρος στις ΑΠΕ δίνει η Αλβανία

> 09/05/2012

"Reactions against RES projects in Crete"



### What we have heard since 2009

From rather moderate comments ...:

- Lubricants leaked out from the wind turbines, littering the ground.
- The access and internal wind parks roads affects and alter the natural mountainous landscape.
- Wind turbines constitute a threat for the birds.
- Wind turbines are ugly. They affect negatively the natural landscape.
- The noise emitted from the wind turbines is unbearable.





### What we have heard since 2009

... to the most imaginary claims:

- Wind turbines send away the clouds, contributing to poor annual rainfalls and, gradually, to desertification.
- Wind turbines emit radioactivity, causing cancer.
- Wind turbines cause depression to sheep and cows, making them to reduce their daily quantities of food and bring them to bad mood for reproduction.
- Wind turbines are responsible for multiple events in human beings, such as boredom, insomnia, general feel of fatigue (the so-called myth of "wind turbines' syndrome").



### 1<sup>st</sup> conclusion

The existing applications – licenses of large size have significantly contributed to the configuration of a definite negative common attitude on R.E.S., toughening any future effort for R.E.S. projects development, even of smaller size, rationally designed and sited ones.



### Existing applications – licenses and national development

- It is certain that the unobstructed implementation of any project requires the existence of a positive common opinion about this.
- The common attitude against R.E.S. projects, which prevailed in Greece during the last years for the above presented reasons, especially in the insular part of the country, consists the first, fundamental, negative

parameter, regarding the implementation of the applied or issued R.E.S. power plants.

"Don't make plans, for they will remain just in paper"



### Existing applications – licenses and national development

- The perverted legislation framework gives full priority and exclusivity to a R.E.S. project's application that comes first in a specific land area, against any other applications that come later in the same area, even if the later ones exhibit a higher extent of maturity.
- As a result, a mature application with:
  - certified R.E.S. potential measurements captured inside the proposed installation site
  - the possession of the required land properties justified
  - all the required preliminary positive opinions issued from the authorities responsible for the project's licensing
  - the support of the local communities

will not be evaluated if there is a land overlay, even a partial one, with another application that comes first, even if it does not exhibit a tiny trace of maturity.



### Existing applications – licenses and national development

- The large size applications and licenses in the insular systems have already remained almost stable for more than five years (since 2009), without any fundamental progress towards their final licensing, obviously due to the significant problems that they exhibit, arising from the deficient preparation and the low maturity of the initial applications.
- These applications and licenses, for more than five years, have captured the, anywise, limited geographical territories, preventing the submission of mature and carefully designed applications for projects of smaller size, which are much more realistic to be implemented.

AEDLIAN LAND



Hybrid power plant of Astypalaia with 2,5MW guaranteed power (wind park 4,5MW and pumped hydro storage system)

AEDLIAN LAND



Hybrid power plant of Kasos with 4,0MW guaranteed power (wind park 4,5MW and pumped hydro storage system) land overlapping with large applications - licenses





Hybrid power plant in Crete with 36MW guaranteed power (wind park 42MW and pumped hydro storage system) land overlapping with large applications - licenses

AFOLIAN LAND



Hybrid power plant in Samos with 20MW guaranteed power (wind park 24MW and pumped hydro storage system) land overlapping with large applications - licenses





Hybrid power plant in Crete with 55MW guaranteed power (wind park 66MW and pumped hydro storage system) land overlapping with large applications - licenses



### 2<sup>nd</sup> conclusion

AEDLIAN LAND S.F

The contribution of the existing large size applications and licenses towards the national target of the maximization of the R.E.S. exploitation in Greece is also strongly negative.

# Benefits from the implementation of the submitted applications

συμφερόντων των Ομίλων Κοπελούζου και Σαμαρά, είναι ύψους €1,99 δισ. και αφορά

στην ανάπτυξη 36 συστοιχιών Αιολικών Σταθμών ισχύος 1.005 MW στην Κρήτη και στη

Λόνω της λειτουργίας των αιολικών πάρκων του επιχειρηματικού ομίλου Elica Group,

θα εππευχθεί η αποφυγή εκπομπής 3 εκατ. τόνων CO2 σε ετήσια βάση, ενώ θα

συναλλάγματος λόγω μη εισαγωγής πετρελαίου της τάξης των €150 εκατ. ετησίως.

εξοικονομηθούν 253 γιλ. τόνοι πετρελαίου, με αποτέλεσμα την εξοικονόμηση

Το έργο «Κρήτη Πράσινο Νησί» του επιχειρηματικού ομίλου Elica Group,

διασύνδεσή τους μέσω υποθαλασσίου καλωδίου με την ηπειρωτική Ελλάδα.





14/03/2012





Θα εππευχθεί η αποφυγή καταβολής δικαιωμάτων εκπομπής αερίων του θερμοκηπίου που θα ισχύσει από το 2013 της τάξης των €90 εκατ. ετησίως, με αντίστοιχη συμβολή στο ισοζύγιο τρεχουσών συναλλαγών και στο περιορισμό της αύξησης της τιμής του ηλεκτρικού ρεύματος.

Επιπλέον, θα περιοριστεί η αύξηση της ανεργίας με τη δημιουργία 1.000 νέων θέσεων εργασίας στη φάση της κατασκευής, και σημαντικό αριθμό μονίμων εργαζομένων στη φάση της 20ετούς – τουλάχιστον – λειτουργίας.



Δοκιμάστε το <u>Pure Acai</u> <u>Berry Max</u> το καλύτερο συμπλήρωμα διατροφής με Acai Berry

Πέραν αυτών θα εππευχθεί η μείωση του κόστους ηλεκτροπαραγωγής της Κρήτης για την περίοδο 2017 – 2040 κατά το ποσό των €6,8 δισ.

Επίσης ο επιχειρηματικός όμιλος <u>Elica Group</u> αναφέρει ότι όσον αφορά τα έσοδα προς το Ελληνικό δημόσιο, αναμένεται σημαντική συνεισφορά για τους Δήμους εγκατάστασης των Αιολικών Σταθμών, ενώ τα συνολικά φορολογικά έσοδα εκτιμώνται σε € 1.400 εκατ.

- Παγκρήτιο Δίκτυο Αγώνα κατά των Βιομηχανικών Α.Π.Ε. : Συνάντηση στην Ιεράπετρα
- Απόρριψη του Ηλιοθερμικού στο Χώνο Σητείας από την Εππροπή Περιβάλλοντος
- Μείωση 12,5% στις ταρίφες των φωτοβολταϊκών συστημάτων
- Χάρτης της ΡΑΕ για τα έργα ΑΠΕ σε Ηράκλειο , Λασίθι
- Αποφάσεις Επιτροπής
  Περιβάλλοντος και
  Χωροταξίας Περιφέρειας
  Κρήτης στις 24 2-2012

Αναζήτηση





### http://www.lasithinews.gr/News.aspx?ArtlId=6509&Elica Group

## Benefits from the implementation of the submitted applications



In the framework of a general promotion campaign of the licensed large scale projects, their owners present them as projects of public benefit, of ultimate national importance, justifying these theses with a list of arguments, such as the following:

- the reduction of the greenhouse gas emissions, due to the lower electricity production from the thermal power plants
- the reduction of the imported fossil fuel consumption for electricity production and the corresponding national currency saving
- the strengthening of the national economy through the taxation of the revenues from the produced electricity selling and the avoidance of penalties for the greenhouse gas emissions
- the creation of new employment positions, which are not numbered, mainly during the construction of the projects and, secondly, during their permanent, commercial operation

# Benefits from the implementation of the submitted applications



In the framework of a general promotion campaign of the licensed large scale projects, their owners present them as projects of public benefit, of ultimate national importance, justifying these theses with a list of arguments, such as the following:

- the generation of an annual income (public rates) for the local Municipalities equal to 3% of the investments' revenues
- the reduction of the electricity production specific cost (in €/kWh) for the autonomous insular power systems.

It is understandable that the above presented, and mostly promoted, positive consequences from the construction and the operation of electricity production power plants from R.E.S., are the implicit ones, the minimum ones that could be expected from such projects.

## Benefits from the implementation of the submitted applications



- A basic issue is also the origin of the required funds for the R.E.S. projects' construction, as well as the destination of the expected profits: will they remain inside the borders of the country in order to be reinvested in further developmental plans, multiplying thus the added valued of the initial R.E.S. projects for the local economies, or are they going to be disposed for the repayment of extremely heavy loans from offshore subsidizers, minimizing their contribution to the national and local economies?
- It is implicit that the economic slump and the cash shortage recorded in Greece during the current economic crisis time period unavoidably lead the potential investors out of the country's borders for seeking funds. Consequently, the construction and the operation of the above presented large R.E.S. projects will simply switch the final destination of the national currency from the oil production countries to the funding organizations around the world for the repayment of the heavy required loans for their implementation.



### 3<sup>rd</sup> Conclusion

The contribution of the existing large size applications and licenses to the recovery of the Greek national economy and the development of the local communities will be the minimum one, the inevitable one, as a result of the way that these projects have been designed and are going to be implemented. All the huge potential social and economic benefits that can be gained from these projects in favor of the national economy and the local communities are simply ignored.



### 3<sup>rd</sup> Conclusion

Simultaneously, the installation of these large projects will terminate any further possible development of R.E.S. projects in Greece, since all the available land and the electricity demand of the country will have been covered. So the perspective of the economic growth based on the exploitation of R.E.S. in Greece will be practically lost for ever and the country will be deprived one of the most promising prospects for a healthy, social and economic development.









### Licenses recall

- According to the law 4152/2013, there will be a penalty of 1.000€/MW for the R.E.S. projects that their installation has not begun after four years from the issuance of the power production license.
- This can be considered as a positive measure, expected to contribute to a first screening of the existing situation.



### Applications rejection

- There is no prediction about the applications still under evaluation. For some of them their evaluation has already been delayed since 2010. The number of these applications is rather high, occupying corresponding large land areas.
- The definition of a maximum evaluation time period, of about two years, for the issuance of the power production license sounds sensible and will certainly be a correct measure. If the application can not be evaluated with the applicant's responsibility, it must be rejected after this maximum time period.



# Justification of the land properties possession

- The issuance of power production licenses after 2011 for immature projects, led to considerable delays in the exploitation of R.E.S. in Greece, as justified above.
- It seems that the retraction of the applicant's obligation to justify the land's properties possession straight from the power production license application, was a rather wrong decision that should be recalled, at least for the main installation site of the applied project, if not for the accompanied required works (access roads, connection grid etc).



### Wind potential evaluation

- Especially for the wind parks, the measuring of wind potential with wind mast installed in positions located not farther than a maximum radius from the installation site (e.g. 5 km depending on the land morphology) constitutes, on the one hand, a requisite technical spadework and, on the other, a clear proof regarding the applicant's intentions.
- Hence, it must be required for the issuance of the power production license as well.



### Offshore wind parks

- The offshore wind parks must be installed in specific sites, selected under a strict siting plan, in order to protect the sea environment and to maintain the existing human activities (transportation, recreation, commercial activities).
- For these reasons, the issued licenses for offshore wind parks must be recalled.
- The total offshore wind power that can be installed in the country must be the result of the above suggested national siting plan.
- The investors for the offshore wind parks development must be selected under an open national proclamation.



### Public rates for the local Municipalities

- A wind park installed in an insular Greek site with:
  - annual final (after losses) capacity factor of 40% [1-10, 48-51] (such wind potential, or even higher, is met in the most mountainous insular territories in Greece)
  - a set-up specific cost of 1.100€/kW
  - a feed-in-tariff electricity price of 0,090€/kWh
  - an annual electricity rejection of 10%
  - public rates 3% over the annual revenues
  - a funding scheme of 40% equities and 60% loan capital with a payback period of 10 years and a loan rate of 7,0%

exhibits a payback period of 3 years and an Internal Rate of Return (I.R.R.) of 27%, calculated on the investment's equities.



### Public rates for the local Municipalities

- For the same project, if all the above presented assumptions are kept but the public rates increase from 3% to 15%, the payback period increases to 5.5 years and the I.R.R. becomes 18%. Consequently, by increasing the public rates at 15% of the annual revenues, the investment still exhibits high economic efficiency.
- Consequently, by increasing the public rates at 15% of the annual revenues, the investment still exhibits high economic efficiency.
- The value of the public rates percentage must be evaluated specifically versus the type of the R.E.S. project, (wind park, photovoltaic station, hybrid station etc), the size of the project, the site of installation, the power plant's capacity factor, the existence of any type of subsidy etc.

### Local investors and Municipalities participation

- The benefits for the local communities will be much higher in case local private or public organizations and companies invest in R.E.S. projects.
- Tens of examples of R.E.S. projects development and operation from local communities and Municipalities can be retrieved from Europe, Australia and U.S.A.
- In these occasions the economic benefits from the development and operation of R.E.S. power plants are maximized, since the profits from these projects return to the local investors, residing and activating at the same geographical area.
- By reinvesting these profits in the same geographical region, the economic benefits are multiplying.

### Local investors and Municipalities participation

- The financial inadequacy of most Municipalities is the basic obstacle for their participation in R.E.S. projects with, for their standards, high set-up costs. To overcome this, the introduction of specific measures in the relevant legislation is necessary, such as:
  - Exemption from the obligation to justify their financial adequacy to develop a R.E.S. project, for the application of the first power production license. Once this first license is issued, the Municipality can either proceed to an open proclamation for the selection of the investor for the project's funding, or apply itself for the project's funding in external funds.
  - Projects' funding from the State and repayment from the project's revenues, after the beginning of its commercial operation.





### Source: http://www.communitywindpower.co.uk/news.asp









### Πηγή: http://hepburnwind.com.au/about/

AEDLIAN LAND S.A



Source: http://sustainablecities.dk/en/city-projects/cases/samsoe-a-role-model-in-selfsufficiency



### Protection against projects of large size

- The local small investors, public or private, must be protected from investments of very large size, which can occupy a high percentage of the total R.E.S. power that can be potentially installed in a geographical territory, determined either by land or energy terms, especially in cases of insular systems, connected or not to mainland's grids.
- Specific restrictions for maximum R.E.S. nominal power per application can be introduced for such systems, the size of which can be defined as a maximum percentage of the annual maximum power demand of the insular system.
- In systems of small and very small size, with annual maximum power demand lower than 10 MW, this restriction can not be applied, since the power plant's low size, in combination with the annual low electricity consumption, can probably affect negatively the investment's feasibility.

# The growth of a new positive attitude on R.E.S.



- The reversal of the existing negative common opinion back to the positive one recorded until 2008 is a fundamental necessity and a basic prerequisite for the unobstructed R.E.S. projects development in Greece. To approach this scope, two steps are required:
  - the implementation of the above proposed actions, such as the rejection of all the existing large applications, the definition of substantial benefits for the local communities, the introduction of supporting measures for the local Municipalities and the local investors participation in R.E.S. investments etc
  - a carefully designed informative and promotion campaign on R.E.S. projects, developed and supported by the State and implemented by official academic organizations and institutes specialized on R.E.S., generally acclaimed by the people.

### R.E.S. projects for the local communities



The R.E.S. projects just like every development project should basically focused on the development of Local Communities which, cumulatively, will lead to the overall National Development. The ultimate scope of every R.E.S. project should be the maximization of the its added value at the area of installation which, in turn, can lead to a long-term, sustainable development.

### R.E.S. projects for the local communities



The R.E.S. projects' development based on exogenous funds which will determine the projects' Engineers and Consultants the equipment providers the way that the project will be implemented and all the prerequisites and terms for the project's construction resembles slavery regimes inappropriate for any free nation in the world even more for a country – member of the European Union even more for the country – origin of Europe.

"Development" examples from the world



The economic development that United Fruit Co. have brought in Guatemala.





# "Development" examples from the world

The economic development that Shell have brought in Nigeria.



### What can we expect about Greece?





For these children the Greek State has bequeathed a failed economy and an insecure future.



Children of the elementary school of a small mountainous village in the southern Crete at the early '80s

### What can we expect about Greece?





Children of a kindergarten in Heraklion, Crete, in 2010.

When the contemporary politicians talk about "Green Development", what kind of development do they refer to? Similar to the one in Guatemala or Nigeria?

Which is the future reserved for the children of 2010 in Greece?



### Epilogue

The healthy forces in Greece and every country in the world Investors, Local Municipalities, Academic Institutions, Environmental Organisations should act in common towards a unique target: **the claim of a better future for the country** through the objective and fare sharing of R.E.S. wealth

Thank you for your attention

